

Lehrevaluation Quality and Usability Seminar SS17

Naderi, Schmidt, Zadtootaghaj, Weiss

August 31, 2017


Contents

1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	2
1.3	I attend the course...	3
1.4	So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...	3
1.5	The required knowledge was...	4
1.6	In did not have knowledge regarding:	4
1.7	The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...	5
1.8	I was not always present, because:	5
1.9	The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...	6
1.10	Compared with other courses this course was...	6
2	Theoretical part / Course	7
2.1	The amount of content to learn in this course was...	7
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	7
2.3	The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.	8
2.4	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.5	Everything was presented in an understandable way	9
2.6	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	9
2.7	Questions were answered in an understandable way.	10
2.8	Examples helped to understand.	10
2.9	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	11
2.10	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	11
3	Practical part	12
3.1	The level of the exercises was...	12
3.2	The exercises built on the lecture.	12
3.3	The exercises helped to understand the subject.	13
3.4	I actively participated in the group work.	13
4	Practical part / Support	14
4.1	The tutor was well skilled in the topic.	14
4.2	Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.	14
5	Practical part / Discussion	15
5.1	Discussions helped to understand the subject.	15
6	Practical part / Independent work	16
6.1	The assessments were understandable.	16


7	Test	17
7.1	I am planning the following time for exam preparation:	17
7.2	For the exams I am learning in a group.	17
8	Course Summary	18
8.1	The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.	18
8.2	The goals of this course were...	18
8.3	They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:	19
8.4	The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...	19
8.5	The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:	19
8.6	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	19
8.7	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	19
8.8	I liked particularly:	19
8.9	I disliked particularly:	20
8.10	I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.	20
8.11	Further comments or requests:	20
8.12	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	21
8.13	My interest increased/ decreased because:	21
8.14	Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...	22
8.15	I would recommend this course.	22
8.16	I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:	22
8.17	Further comments:	23
8.18	My overall impression of the course:	23
8.19	Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?	23

1 General questions about the course


1.1 I am a student of



1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attend the course...




- Brauch nen Seminar

1.4 So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...




1.5 The required knowledge was...



1.6 In did not have knowledge regarding:

- Most of the topics but that was the idea of the seminar.
- Linux, konkrete Algorithmen und statistische Verfahren
- Keine wirklichen Kenntnisse nötig.


1.7 The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...




1.8 I was not always present, because:

- Presentation dates
- Krankheit

1.9 The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...




1.10 Compared with other courses this course was...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The amount of content to learn in this course was...




2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.




2.4 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




2.5 Everything was presented in an understandable way




2.6 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.7 Questions were answered in an understandable way.




2.8 Examples helped to understand.



2.9 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.10 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




3 Practical part


3.1 The level of the exercises was...




3.2 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.3 The exercises helped to understand the subject.




3.4 I actively participated in the group work.




4 Practical part / Support

4.1 The tutor was well skilled in the topic.




4.2 Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.




5 Practical part / Discussion

5.1 Discussions helped to understand the subject.




6 Practical part / Independent work

6.1 The assessments were understandable.




7 Test

7.1 I am planning the following time for exam preparation:




7.2 For the exams I am learning in a group.




8 Course Summary

8.1 The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.




8.2 The goals of this course were...



8.3 They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:

- Because they were stated since the beginning of the course
- Ich glaube die Lernziele haben sich im Laufe der Veranstaltung geändert. Ich dachte, dass wir tatsächlich Synthese betreiben werden. Allerdings haben wir wochenlang damit verbracht MARYTTS zum Laufen zu bringen. Das war teilweise sehr frustrierend.

8.4 The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...



8.5 The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:

- No learning material provided
- Teilweise geht Taylor zu sehr ins Detail, ohne die Grundideen verständlich zu erklären (vgl. Kapitel 15). Das ist aber stark vom Kapitel abhängig. Manche sind ganz gut.

8.6 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- *No Content*

8.7 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- well being / fun and enjoyment


8.8 I liked particularly:

- serious games / rewards/ user classification / GAP analysis
- Der Dozent war flexibel und hat die Aufgaben entsprechend des Feedbacks der Teilnehmer angepasst.
- Die Kombination der Praxis und Theorie. Man lernt wie das Bauen eine Stimme funktioniert und führt dies auch Praktisch durch.

8.9 I disliked particularly:

- N/A
- Nicht gut organisiert bzw. nicht ausreichend durchdacht.
- Installierung des benötigten Programms war ein Krampf.


8.10 I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.



8.11 Further comments or requests:

- N/A
- Falls ein TTS Seminar wiederholt wird, wäre es evtl. günstiger MARYTTS in den Computer Pools vorzinstallieren und dann wirklich in die Tiefe zu gehen.


8.12 Following this course, my interest in this subject:




8.13 My interest increased/ decreased because:

- It increased because it's a current trend in the industry today.
- Es ist verständlich und Interessant. Der Scope lässt sich beliebig verändern. Es lässt sich wenn nötig beliebig weit ins detail gehen. Zudem ist das Grundverständnis auch kein Hexenwerk.

8.14 Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...



8.15 I would recommend this course.



8.16 I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:


- N/A

- s.o.
- Spannend und es fühlt sich nicht nach Arbeit an, diesen Kurs zu besuchen.

8.17 Further comments:

- N/A

8.18 My overall impression of the course:



8.19 Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?

- N/A