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1 General questions about the course

1.1 I am a student of

![Pie chart showing the distribution of students across different programs.]

1.2 I attend the course as...

![Pie chart showing the distribution of students by their course attendance status.]

 elective subject (1)

 compulsory subject (6)
1.3 I attended the course...

- Interesting + Luecken beim Fernbleiben

1.4 I have evaluated the lecture afterwards...

- Texte zu lesen waren
Hausaufgaben

1.5  I would like to attend the lecture...

- egal
- besserer Verständlichkeit
- bessere Usability
1.6 The weekly expenditure of time required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...

2 Theoretical part / Course

2.1 The goals in this course were...
2.2 The pace of the lecture was...

![Bar chart showing the pace of the lecture was appropriate.]

2.3 Everything was presented in an understandable way

- gut erklärt
- klar strukturiert
2.4 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.

2.5 Examples helped to understand.
2.6 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.

2.7 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.

- Vortrag
- locker vorgetragen
2.8 The instructor built up a good working situation.

- gemütlicher Runde (kleine Gruppengröße)
- nicht frontal oder hierachisch
2.9 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.

appropriate (7)

2.10 The required knowledge was...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>completely present</th>
<th>not present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Practical Part

3.1 The exercises built on the lecture.

3.2 The level of the exercises was...
3.3 Concerning the instructor’s supervision, I am...

4 Further Remarks

4.1 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:
   - Ästhetik in Usability
   - Test Einzelseite
   - Details Auswertung Ergebnisse

4.2 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:
   - trockene theoretische Themen

4.3 I liked particularly:
   - praktischer Teil und Beispiele
   - Scharade Usabilitytest
   - praktische selbständige Durchführung des Usabilitytests

4.4 I disliked particularly:
   - die ewige Literaturbesprechung, man fühlt sich ausgelutscht
5 Course Summary

5.1 Following this course, my interest in this subject:

roused increased decreased

5.2 Compared to average courses the quality of this course was...
5.3 My overall impression of the course: