

Quality and Usability: Web-Usability, SoSe 2010

Benjamin Weiss, Klaus-Peter Engelbrecht, Matthias Schulz


November 2, 2010

Contents


1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	2
1.3	I attended the course...	3
1.4	I have evaluated the lecture afterwards...	3
1.5	I would like to attend the lecture...	4
1.6	The weekly expenditure of time required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...	5
2	Theoretical part / Course	5
2.1	The goals in this course were...	5
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	6
2.3	Everything was presented in an understandable way	6
2.4	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	7
2.5	Examples helped to understand.	7
2.6	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	8
2.7	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.8	The instructor built up a good working situation.	9
2.9	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	10
2.10	The required knowledge was...	10
3	Practical Part	11
3.1	The exercises built on the lecture.	11
3.2	The level of the exercises was...	11
3.3	Concerning the instructor's supervision, I am.. . . .	12
4	Further Remarks	12
4.1	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	12
4.2	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	12
4.3	I liked particularly:	12
4.4	I disliked particularly:	12
5	Course Summary	13
5.1	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	13
5.2	Compared to average courses the quality of this course was...	13
5.3	My overall impression of the course:	14

1 General questions about the course


1.1 I am a student of



1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attended the course...



- Interessant + Luecken beim Fernbleiben


1.4 I have evaluated the lecture afterwards...



- Texte zu lesen waren


- Hausaufgaben

1.5 I would like to attend the lecture...




- egal
- besserer Verstaendlichkeit
- bessere Usability

1.6 The weekly expenditure of time required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The goals in this course were...



2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 Everything was presented in an understandable way




- gut erklart
- klar strukturiert


2.4 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.5 Examples helped to understand.



2.6 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.7 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




- Vortrag
- locker vorgetragen

2.8 The instructor built up a good working situation.




- gemütlicher Runde (kleine Gruppengröße)
- nicht frontal oder hierarchisch

2.9 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




2.10 The required knowledge was...




3 Practical Part


3.1 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.2 The level of the exercises was...



3.3 Concerning the instructor's supervision, I am..



4 Further Remarks

4.1 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- Ästhetik in Usability
- Test Einzelseite
- Details Auswertung Ergebnisse

4.2 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- trockene theoretische Themen

4.3 I liked particularly:


- praktischer Teil und Beispiele
- Scharade Usabilitytest
- praktische selbständige Durchführung des Usabilitytests

4.4 I disliked particularly:


- die ewige Literaturbesprechung, man fühlt sich ausgelutscht

5 Course Summary

5.1 Following this course, my interest in this subject:



5.2 Compared to average courses the quality of this course was...



5.3 My overall impression of the course:

