

Lehrevaluation Affective Computing WS 17/18

Voigt-Antons

February 27, 2018


Contents

1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	3
1.3	I attend the course...	3
1.4	So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...	4
1.5	The required knowledge was...	4
1.6	In did not have knowledge regarding:	5
1.7	The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...	5
1.8	I was not always present, because:	5
1.9	The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...	6
1.10	Compared with other courses this course was...	6
2	Theoretical part / Course	7
2.1	The amount of content to learn in this course was...	7
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	7
2.3	The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.	8
2.4	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.5	Everything was presented in an understandable way	9
2.6	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	9
2.7	Questions were answered in an understandable way.	10
2.8	Examples helped to understand.	10
2.9	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	11
2.10	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	11
3	Practical part	12
3.1	The level of the excercises was...	12
3.2	The excercises built on the lecture.	12
3.3	The excercises helped to understand the subject.	13
3.4	I actively participated in the group work.	13
4	Practical part / Support	14
4.1	The tutor was well skilled in the topic.	14
4.2	Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.	14
5	Practical part / Discussion	15
5.1	Discussions helped to understand the subject.	15
6	Practical part / Independent work	16
6.1	The assessments were understandable.	16

7	Test	17
7.1	I am planning the following time for exam preparation:	17
7.2	For the exams I am learning in a group.	17
8	Course Summary	18
8.1	The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.	18
8.2	The goals of this course were...	18
8.3	They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:	19
8.4	The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...	19
8.5	The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:	19
8.6	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	19
8.7	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	19
8.8	I liked particularly:	19
8.9	I disliked particularly:	19
8.10	I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.	20
8.11	Further comments or requests:	20
8.12	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	21
8.13	My interest increased/ decreased because:	21
8.14	Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...	21
8.15	I would recommend this course.	22
8.16	I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:	22
8.17	Further comments:	22
8.18	My overall impression of the course:	23
8.19	Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?	23


1 General questions about the course

1.1 I am a student of




- Human Computer Interaction and Design
- ICT Innovation - EIT Master

1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attend the course...




- Fits my schedule

1.4 So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...




1.5 The required knowledge was...



1.6 In did not have knowledge regarding:

- Psychological topics
- basics in psychology and clinical psychology
- most of what i learned


1.7 The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...




1.8 I was not always present, because:

- I was ill.
- I was sick
- sick

1.9 The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...




1.10 Compared with other courses this course was...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The amount of content to learn in this course was...




2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.




2.4 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




2.5 Everything was presented in an understandable way




2.6 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.7 Questions were answered in an understandable way.




2.8 Examples helped to understand.



2.9 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.10 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




3 Practical part


3.1 The level of the exercises was...




3.2 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.3 The exercises helped to understand the subject.




3.4 I actively participated in the group work.




4 Practical part / Support

4.1 The tutor was well skilled in the topic.




4.2 Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.




5 Practical part / Discussion

5.1 Discussions helped to understand the subject.




6 Practical part / Independent work

6.1 The assessments were understandable.




7 Test

7.1 I am planning the following time for exam preparation:




7.2 For the exams I am learning in a group.




8 Course Summary

8.1 The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.




8.2 The goals of this course were...



8.3 They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:

- The time slot and time amount of the practical part was mentioned late (not included in the Isf or Modulbeschreibung).

8.4 The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...



8.5 The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:

- There was no script and no literature list. Would be helpful.

8.6 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- *No Content*

8.7 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- Basics of emotions, I didn't get, why it was discussed in depth, though the connection to affective computing was not clear.


8.8 I liked particularly:

- skin conductivity, EEG, BCIs, muscle activity of the face, etc..

8.9 I disliked particularly:

- The first topics were not so interesting in my opinion.


8.10 I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.



8.11 Further comments or requests:

- There should be more interaction from students' side.
- A Test in the end of a seminar is kind of weird, since the many presentations contradict each other (for example definition of emotions) so students don't know what exactly they should learn.


8.12 Following this course, my interest in this subject:




8.13 My interest increased/ decreased because:

- It was pretty informative regarding new technologies

8.14 Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...



8.15 I would recommend this course.




8.16 I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:

- I would recommend it, because its low requirements.

8.17 Further comments:

- The teacher was very good anyway.

8.18 My overall impression of the course:



8.19 Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?

- The teacher is very nice but he is sometimes discouraging. In many situations I felt like he is mocking us for not knowing some stuff, which we were not even supposed to know.