

Lehrevaluation Biometric Identification and Verification WS 17/18

Wagner, Fernández Gallardo, Möller

February 27, 2018


Contents

1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	2
1.3	I attend the course...	3
1.4	So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...	3
1.5	The required knowledge was...	4
1.6	In did not have knowledge regarding:	4
1.7	The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...	5
1.8	I was not always present, because:	5
1.9	The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...	6
1.10	Compared with other courses this course was...	6
2	Theoretical part / Course	7
2.1	The amount of content to learn in this course was...	7
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	7
2.3	The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.	8
2.4	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.5	Everything was presented in an understandable way	9
2.6	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	9
2.7	Questions were answered in an understandable way.	10
2.8	Examples helped to understand.	10
2.9	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	11
2.10	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	11
3	Practical part	12
3.1	The level of the exercises was...	12
3.2	The exercises built on the lecture.	12
3.3	The exercises helped to understand the subject.	13
3.4	I actively participated in the group work.	13
4	Practical part / Support	14
4.1	The tutor was well skilled in the topic.	14
4.2	Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.	14
5	Practical part / Discussion	15
5.1	Discussions helped to understand the subject.	15
6	Practical part / Independent work	16
6.1	The assessments were understandable.	16

7	Test	17
7.1	I am planning the following time for exam preparation:	17
7.2	For the exams I am learning in a group.	17
8	Course Summary	18
8.1	The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.	18
8.2	The goals of this course were...	18
8.3	They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:	19
8.4	The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...	19
8.5	The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:	19
8.6	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	19
8.7	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	19
8.8	I liked particularly:	19
8.9	I disliked particularly:	20
8.10	I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.	20
8.11	Further comments or requests:	20
8.12	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	21
8.13	My interest increased/ decreased because:	21
8.14	Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...	22
8.15	I would recommend this course.	22
8.16	I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:	22
8.17	Further comments:	23
8.18	My overall impression of the course:	23
8.19	Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?	23


1 General questions about the course

1.1 I am a student of




- Human Computer Interaction and Design, MA


1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attend the course...



1.4 So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...




1.5 The required knowledge was...



1.6 In did not have knowledge regarding:

- - matlab :)
- I forgot or was missing any knowledge about the covariance.
- methodology of PCA
- some linear algebra and probability
- Complex numbers, Eigenvectors/-values (at least not anymore)
- Speech recognition


1.7 The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...




1.8 I was not always present, because:

- was abroad once
- NA
- NA
- Sick
- I was sick.
- Work or illness
- no time
- Work

1.9 The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...




1.10 Compared with other courses this course was...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The amount of content to learn in this course was...




2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.




2.4 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




2.5 Everything was presented in an understandable way




2.6 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.7 Questions were answered in an understandable way.




2.8 Examples helped to understand.



2.9 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.10 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




3 Practical part


3.1 The level of the exercises was...




3.2 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.3 The exercises helped to understand the subject.




3.4 I actively participated in the group work.




4 Practical part / Support

4.1 The tutor was well skilled in the topic.




4.2 Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.




5 Practical part / Discussion

5.1 Discussions helped to understand the subject.




6 Practical part / Independent work

6.1 The assessments were understandable.




7 Test

7.1 I am planning the following time for exam preparation:




7.2 For the exams I am learning in a group.




8 Course Summary

8.1 The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.




8.2 The goals of this course were...



8.3 They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:

- Everything was clearly stated at the beginning
- I have a good understanding of the most relevant techniques and found out about a lot of stuff I did not know before.

8.4 The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...



8.5 The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:

- NA
- Es wurde in den Büchern ausführlicher erklärt, die nicht in einigen Folien stehen.
- There was not time at all to finish up the slides we had almost no time to finish.

8.6 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- *No Content*

8.7 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- *No Content*


8.8 I liked particularly:

- Very pleasant lecturer, could understand him very well, the speed was appropriate and questions were answered understandably.
- - bestimmte Themenauswahl- Verständlichkeit
- SVM GMM Bayes rules

8.9 I disliked particularly:

- The method of picking presentation topics. If your first favorite topic was near the end, your second and third choice were likely already taken. We had to settle for what was left over.
- Using some mathematics equations without having time to explain them


8.10 I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.



8.11 Further comments or requests:

- maybe python instead of matlab next time


8.12 Following this course, my interest in this subject:




8.13 My interest increased/ decreased because:

- its the future
- I found out about biometric identification techniques that I did not know before and never have thought of

8.14 Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...



8.15 I would recommend this course.



8.16 I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:


- It is well structured, and the material is explained well.

- It gives a very good overview over the most relevant biometric identification techniques and has a adequate technological depth
- - Interesting topics- Understandable exercises- Kind Laura
- No reasons to do it if the credits are necessary

8.17 Further comments:

- *No Content*

8.18 My overall impression of the course:



8.19 Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?

- You shouldn't enforce someone to answer all questions on a voluntary survey.