

Lehrevaluation Affective Computing WS 18/19

Voigt-Antons

March 11, 2019


Contents

1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	2
1.3	I attend the course...	3
1.4	So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...	3
1.5	The required knowledge was...	4
1.6	In did not have knowledge regarding:	4
1.7	The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...	5
1.8	I was not always present, because:	5
1.9	The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...	6
1.10	Compared with other courses this course was...	6
2	Theoretical part / Course	7
2.1	The amount of content to learn in this course was...	7
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	7
2.3	The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.	8
2.4	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.5	Everything was presented in an understandable way	9
2.6	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	9
2.7	Questions were answered in an understandable way.	10
2.8	Examples helped to understand.	10
2.9	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	11
2.10	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	11
3	Practical part	12
3.1	The level of the excercises was...	12
3.2	The excercises built on the lecture.	12
3.3	The excercises helped to understand the subject.	13
3.4	I actively participated in the group work.	13
4	Practical part / Support	14
4.1	The tutor was well skilled in the topic.	14
4.2	Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.	14
5	Practical part / Discussion	15
5.1	Discussions helped to understand the subject.	15
6	Practical part / Independent work	16
6.1	The assessments were understandable.	16

7	Test	17
7.1	I am planning the following time for exam preparation:	17
7.2	For the exams I am learning in a group.	17
8	Course Summary	18
8.1	The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.	18
8.2	The goals of this course were...	18
8.3	They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:	19
8.4	The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...	19
8.5	The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:	19
8.6	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	19
8.7	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	19
8.8	I liked particularly:	19
8.9	I disliked particularly:	20
8.10	I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.	20
8.11	Further comments or requests:	20
8.12	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	21
8.13	My interest increased/ decreased because:	21
8.14	Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...	21
8.15	I would recommend this course.	22
8.16	I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:	22
8.17	Further comments:	22
8.18	My overall impression of the course:	23
8.19	Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?	23


1 General questions about the course

1.1 I am a student of




- Wirtschaftsinformatik


1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attend the course...



1.4 So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...




1.5 The required knowledge was...



1.6 In did not have knowledge regarding:

- Medical field


1.7 The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...




1.8 I was not always present, because:

- difficult time slot, other courses at the same.
- I was ill
- health
- I lost 2 lessons because I was in Spain with family.
- Missed 3x: Colided with unmoveable appointment regarding my thesis. Moved to a day I work. Out of town.

1.9 The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...




1.10 Compared with other courses this course was...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The amount of content to learn in this course was...




2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.




2.4 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




2.5 Everything was presented in an understandable way




2.6 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.7 Questions were answered in an understandable way.




2.8 Examples helped to understand.



2.9 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.10 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




3 Practical part


3.1 The level of the exercises was...




3.2 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.3 The exercises helped to understand the subject.




3.4 I actively participated in the group work.




4 Practical part / Support

4.1 The tutor was well skilled in the topic.




4.2 Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.




5 Practical part / Discussion

5.1 Discussions helped to understand the subject.




6 Practical part / Independent work

6.1 The assessments were understandable.




7 Test

7.1 I am planning the following time for exam preparation:




7.2 For the exams I am learning in a group.




8 Course Summary

8.1 The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.




8.2 The goals of this course were...



8.3 They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:

- If the aim was to be prepared for the research project in the following semester, I would have preferred to end with writing a research exposé instead of an exam because I believe that would be more valuable.
- Introduction session gave a good overview of the goal
- we arrived to the meaning of almost everything we explained

8.4 The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...



8.5 The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:

- too long to read
- The quality of the hand outs had high range.

8.6 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- *No Content*

8.7 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- *No Content*


8.8 I liked particularly:

- The summary session at the end created a nice overview on all that we (should have) learned over the semester.
- Interactive presentations.

8.9 I disliked particularly:

- I was irritated by some of the discussions and would have expected a higher level of reflection from students in a masters programme.
- Sometimes I had the feeling like the discussions we had were very shallow, diary-driven, unscientific and affective (hehe). But I have to say that in some of those cases Dr. Jan tried to intervene. I guess one can also not expect science-students to be able to have productive discussions on topics partly involving society, politics, humanities. Also I was sometimes missing the strong points/conclusions that we were supposed to take home from each presentation. That might on the one hand be due to the half-bakedness of the presentation - on the other, one could maybe ask the presenting students to formulate their main findings.


8.10 I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.



8.11 Further comments or requests:

- In general during the semester I was not very involved with the seminar despite attending every week (actually less than an hour, but of course when we had to prepare the presentation I invested a lot of time). It would have kind of been nice to get more involved with the topic e.g. by having to write a summary for one or more texts or having to write questions we have regarding one as a portfolio part.
- Presentation should last not more than 30-45 min
- A slight quality control regarding handout would have been good.


8.12 Following this course, my interest in this subject:




8.13 My interest increased/ decreased because:

- The broad overview has shown me how much more there is.

8.14 Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...



8.15 I would recommend this course.




8.16 I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:

- I liked the discussions and engagement.

8.17 Further comments:

- Just saying: My ratings are mostly rating the presentations, since they took up most of the seminar. Also I just have to say that I was sometimes irritated by Dr. Jan sitting in front of the seminar typing rather loudly while the students were giving their presentations. Probably he was taking notes, still it felt a little rude sometimes and it seems as if he was not paying attention.

8.18 My overall impression of the course:



8.19 Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?

- *No Content*