

Lehrevaluation Computer-supported Interaction WS 18/19

Ketabdar

March 11, 2019


Contents

1	General questions about the course	2
1.1	I am a student of	2
1.2	I attend the course as...	3
1.3	I attend the course...	3
1.4	So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...	4
1.5	The required knowledge was...	4
1.6	In did not have knowledge regarding:	5
1.7	The proportion of the appointments I was present is approximately...	5
1.8	I was not always present, because:	5
1.9	The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and excercises is approximately...	6
1.10	Compared with other courses this course was...	6
2	Theoretical part / Course	7
2.1	The amount of content to learn in this course was...	7
2.2	The pace of the lecture was...	7
2.3	The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.	8
2.4	The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.	8
2.5	Everything was presented in an understandable way	9
2.6	Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.	9
2.7	Questions were answered in an understandable way.	10
2.8	Examples helped to understand.	10
2.9	The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.	11
2.10	The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.	11
3	Practical part	12
3.1	The level of the exercises was...	12
3.2	The exercises built on the lecture.	12
3.3	The exercises helped to understand the subject.	13
3.4	I actively participated in the group work.	13
4	Practical part / Support	14
4.1	The tutor was well skilled in the topic.	14
4.2	Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.	14
5	Practical part / Discussion	15
5.1	Discussions helped to understand the subject.	15
6	Practical part / Independent work	16
6.1	The assessments were understandable.	16

7	Test	17
7.1	I am planning the following time for exam preparation:	17
7.2	For the exams I am learning in a group.	17
8	Course Summary	18
8.1	The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.	18
8.2	The goals of this course were...	18
8.3	They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:	19
8.4	The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...	19
8.5	The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:	19
8.6	The following topics should be discussed more extensively:	19
8.7	The following topics should be discussed less extensively:	19
8.8	I liked particularly:	19
8.9	I disliked particularly:	19
8.10	I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.	20
8.11	Further comments or requests:	20
8.12	Following this course, my interest in this subject:	21
8.13	My interest increased/ decreased because:	21
8.14	Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...	22
8.15	I would recommend this course.	22
8.16	I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:	22
8.17	Further comments:	23
8.18	My overall impression of the course:	23
8.19	Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?	23


1 General questions about the course

1.1 I am a student of




- ICT Innovation
- Computational Neuroscience

1.2 I attend the course as...




1.3 I attend the course...




- because I needed a subject with 3 LP

1.4 So far I have completed that much percent of the courses required for my degree...




1.5 The required knowledge was...



1.6 In did not have knowledge regarding:

- Algorithms in Artificial Intelligence
- Machine Learning Mult Modality
- speech recognition models, accoutics models.


1.7 The proportion of the appointments I was present is approxi- mately...




1.8 I was not always present, because:

- the time slot is conflicted with my work schedule
- it is conflicted with my dinner time
- Had to leave a bit early due to work
- i am lazy
- I was sick.
- of illness
- meetings at work, other subjects

1.9 The weekly expenditure of time i required for this course in addition to lectures and exercises is approximately...




1.10 Compared with other courses this course was...




2 Theoretical part / Course


2.1 The amount of content to learn in this course was...




2.2 The pace of the lecture was...




2.3 The course gave me an actual overview of the topic.




2.4 The lecturer could raise interest in the topic.




2.5 Everything was presented in an understandable way




2.6 Interdisciplinary connections were obvious.




2.7 Questions were answered in an understandable way.




2.8 Examples helped to understand.



2.9 The practical relevance of the substance was recognizable.




2.10 The use of media (computer presentation, blackboard, slide images) was adequate.




3 Practical part


3.1 The level of the exercises was...




3.2 The exercises built on the lecture.



3.3 The exercises helped to understand the subject.




3.4 I actively participated in the group work.




4 Practical part / Support

4.1 The tutor was well skilled in the topic.




4.2 Questions were answered by the tutor in an understandable way.




5 Practical part / Discussion

5.1 Discussions helped to understand the subject.




6 Practical part / Independent work

6.1 The assessments were understandable.




7 Test

7.1 I am planning the following time for exam preparation:




7.2 For the exams I am learning in a group.




8 Course Summary

8.1 The presented topics were consistent with the courses description.




8.2 The goals of this course were...



8.3 They were recognizable/ not recognizable, because:

- The lecturer is very clear on what the goals are.
- recognizable because of the course structure and teaching methodology
- The lecturer explained the contents in good sequences

8.4 The accompanying material (book, script, ...) was...



8.5 The accompanying material was helpful/ not helpful, because:

- helpful because its made the concepts more clearer.

8.6 The following topics should be discussed more extensively:

- *No Content*

8.7 The following topics should be discussed less extensively:

- AI advance level topics


8.8 I liked particularly:

- The lecturing style. He helps us understand, not just remember.
- Pattern matching characteristics and its application in machine learning

8.9 I disliked particularly:

- this class schedule. sometimes feel sleepy.


8.10 I believe that the topics are useful for my future study / work.



8.11 Further comments or requests:

- its helping me to understand basic concepts of the machine learning parts.


8.12 Following this course, my interest in this subject:




8.13 My interest increased/ decreased because:

- In general I love human computer interaction topics. Besides, the examples were made and some videos were shown in the lectures made the subjects to be much more understandable.
- The lectures are enjoyable.
- increased because i am learning exciting things about human-machine interaction which i didn't learned it before.
- because it is an interested subject

8.14 Compared to other courses the quality of this course was...



8.15 I would recommend this course.



8.16 I would recommend/ not recommend this course, because:


- I would recommend it because it is interesting and the workload is relatively low.

- because it can be a foundation course to higher level studies in this area in future if one interested to do so.
- new areas of modern study

8.17 Further comments:

- Great lecturer!
- no

8.18 My overall impression of the course:



8.19 Is there something important that was not asked in this survey?

- no